

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,186	03/23/2004	Erik Martz	525400-335	4647	
75	7590 02/08/2006			EXAMINER	
William Squire, Esq. c/o Carella, Byme, Bain, Gilfillan, Cecchi,			SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
Stewart & Olstein			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5 Becker Farm Road			3733		
Roseland, NJ	07068	DATE MAIL ED: 02/08/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action D	10/808,186	MARTZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard R. Shaffer	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Seply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 April 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all					
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wif 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) 5)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,186

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-70 and 74-85, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 84.
- II. Claims 71-73, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass 84.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the apparatus can be used in a materially different process such as extracting material from the inner cavity of long bones or scaping plaque off of teeth.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for **Group I** is not required for **Group II**, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of a chisel instrument (with representative figure).

1) Figure 1

2) Figure 7

3) Figure 7a

4) Figure 16

5) Figure 24

6) Figure 32

7) Figure 37

8) Figure 45

Application/Control Number: 10/808,186

Art Unit: 3733

9) Figure 45a	10) Figure 53	11) Figure 58	12) Figure 66
13) Figure 71	14) Figure 76	15) Figure 76a	16) Figure 76d
17) Figure 76m	18) Figure 80	19) Figure 84	20) Figure 88
21) Figure 92	22) Figure 96	23) Figure 101	24) Figure 105
25) Figure 114	26) Figure 117	27) Figure 124	

The application further contains claims directed to the following subspecies of a cutting end for the chisel instrument (with representative figure).

1) Figure 1	2) Figure 4	3) Figure 7	4) Figure 7a
5) Figure 9a	6) Figure 12	7) Figure 14a	8) Figure 16
9) Figure 21	10) Figure 21a	11) Figure 21b	12) Figure 24
13) Figure 29	14) Figure 31a	15) Figure 32	16) Figure 37
17) Figure 42	18) Figure 45	19) Figure 45a	20) Figure 50
21) Figure 53	22) Figure 58	23) Figure 63	24) Figure 66
25) Figure 71	26) Figure 76	27) Figure 76a	28) Figure 76d
29) Figure 76i	30) Figure 76k	31) Figure 76m	32) Figure 80
33) Figure 84	34) Figure 88	35) Figure 92	36) Figure 96
37) Figure 101	38) Figure 104a	39) Figure 105	40) Figure 114
41) Figure 117	42) Figure 124	43) Figure 127	

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to **elect a single disclosed species** and **subspecies** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,186

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/808,186 Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Shaffer February 4th, 2006

Dichard Shaffer

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER